EXHIBIT 5

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION
3	ELIZABETH SINES, et al.,
4	No. 3:17-cv-72 Plaintiffs,
5	Charlottesville, Virginia vs. January 4, 2019
6	2:04 p.m. JASON KESSLER, et al., Defendants.
7	Derendants.
8	TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC MOTION HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOEL C. HOPPE
	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE.
9	APPEARANCES:
10	For the Plaintiffs:
11	ROBERTA ANN KAPLAN ALAN LEVINE GABRIELLE E. TENZER Cooley LLP
12	Kaplan & Company, LLP 1114 Avenue of the Americas 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7110 New York, NY 10036
13	New York, NY 10118 212-479-6260 212-763-0883
14	
15	DAVID E. MILLS Cooley LLP Boies Schiller Flexner, LLP
16	1299 Pennsylvania Ave., NW 1401 New York Ave., NW Washington, DC 20004 Washington, DC 20005 202-842-7800 202-237-2727
17	
18	For the Defendants:
19	JAMES EDWARD KOLENICH JOHN A. DINUCCI Kolenich Law Office Law Office of John A. DiNucci
	9435 Waterstone Blvd., Suite 140 8180 Greensboro Drive, Suite 1150
20	Cincinnati, OH 45249 McLean, VA 22102 513-444-2150 703-821-4232
21	Also Present: ROBERT AZZMADOR RAY
22	nibe riegene. Regalli nadmaen iun
23	Transcribed by: Carol Jacobs White Registered Diplomate Reporter
24	PO Box 182 Goode, VA 24556
25	Carol.jacobs.white@gmail.com Proceedings recorded by FTR; computer-assisted transcription.

```
1
          (Call to Order of the Court at 2:04 p.m.)
 2
               THE COURT: Hi. This is Joel Hoppe.
 3
               Who is on the line for the plaintiffs?
 4
               MS. TENZER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 5
     Gabrielle Tenzer from Kaplan Hecker & Fink, on behalf of the
 6
     plaintiffs. And I'm here with my colleagues Robbie Kaplan and Alan
     Levine from the Cooley firm. And there may be others on the line
 7
     as well.
 9
               THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.
10
              And then how about for Mr. Ray and Mr. Heimbach?
              MR. KOLENICH: Good afternoon, Judge. Jim Kolenich for
11
12
    Mr. Ray and Mr. Heimbach.
13
               THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Kolenich.
              And then, Mr. Ray and Mr. Heimbach, are you on the phone
14
15
     as well.
16
              MR. RAY:
                        I'm Robert Ray. I am on the phone.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. So we have Mr. Ray.
              How about -- is anybody else on the line?
18
          (No response.)
19
               THE COURT:
20
                          Okay.
               All right. Well, thank you-all for calling in.
21
22
    hearing is being recorded by the Court's FTR system, so there is a
23
     record of it.
24
               And there are a number of things that I wanted to
25
     address. You know, one is the -- Mr. Kolenich's second motion to
```

```
withdraw from representing Mr. Ray and Mr. Heimbach. And then also
 1
 2
     there was a letter that plaintiffs filed concerning some discovery
 3
     issues, but more about extending the fact discovery deadline.
 4
               And then just recently there was a filing of a suggestion
 5
     of bankruptcy, Mr. Kolenich, by -- regarding Mr. Damigo. And it
 6
     may be helpful to have everybody on the phone for that. But I also
     wanted to just kind of hear what you-all -- what your thoughts were
 7
     as to the impact of that for the case at this point.
 8
               Why don't -- why don't we address the suggestion of
 9
10
     bankruptcy first. And, Mr. Kolenich, what -- do you have any
     suggestions -- you know, it is a stay of all proceedings as to
11
12
    Mr. Damigo. What is your assessment of the impact on the overall
13
     case?
14
               MR. KOLENICH: Your Honor, I -- it is my understanding
     -- and I'm not a routine bankruptcy practitioner, although I have
15
     done some of these adversary proceedings -- that until further
16
17
     order of the bankruptcy judge, nothing else can be done regarding
    Mr. Damigo. It has no effect on the rest of the case.
18
               THE COURT: All right. And, Ms. Tenzer or Ms. Kaplan or
19
    Mr. Levine, what are your views on that?
20
               MR. LEVINE: It is Levine, Your Honor.
21
22
               THE COURT: Levine. Excuse me.
23
               MR. LEVINE: So we are currently evaluating the impact of
24
          But we expect to make a motion in the federal bankruptcy court
25
     to relieve the stay to permit Mr. Damigo to proceed -- the claim
```

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

against Mr. Damigo to proceed here. But I am not prepared to say when we are doing that. We are looking at it right now. And we are going to do whatever we are going to do as guickly as possible. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, then, let's go ahead and move on to the other issues. As to the request to extend discovery to the -- it would be to the expert disclosure -- or the deadline for expert discovery, as I understand it, which would be 75 days before trial, is that what you-all were proposing? MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, it is Alan Levine again. When we were -- what we proposed was that the close of fact discovery be moved to April 24, which is the date of the close of expert discovery and also happens to be the date under your order that dispositive motions are filed. We don't see a reason right now to interfere with the Court's order from June 15, 2018, that required expert discovery to be completed 75 days before trial, because we don't believe necessarily that the expert discovery has to -- has to follow the fact discovery as this case is now playing out. The -- from our vantage point, we're going to -- we have tendered dates for the plaintiffs for their depositions. And I -- my expectation is that those depositions will take place in more than enough time for the defendants, if they so choose to get expert discovery of physicians or other medical experts in respect of the damages. We have provided -- we have provided the

information in respect of the plaintiffs' doctors, etc. 1 2 defendants have that information. 3 As far as experts on the plaintiffs' side, on our side, 4 at the present time, Your Honor, we haven't really decided if we 5 are going to have experts. But we're almost certain that they 6 -- that expert -- any such experts would not be connected to the completion of fact discovery. And so I don't think that one would 7 have to follow the other in the traditional sense. 8 So at this time we're trying to hold tight to the Court's 9 10 trial date, although -- although you might hear from us at a later point. But right now we're definitely trying to hold tight to that 11 12 date. And we're just trying to move the fact discovery date to a 13 time that is more realistic given all of the difficulties that we 14 know the Court is aware of that we have had in getting ESI 15 discovery and Discord discovery. 16 THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Levine, at this time you 17 don't anticipate that the plaintiffs would be filing any 18 dispositive motions; is that correct? MR. LEVINE: That's right, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. And the deadline to exclude 20 experts also falls at that dispositive motions' deadline, which is 21 22 75 days before trial. So that's just something else to keep in 23 mind. 24 Mr. --25 MR. LEVINE: Understood.

```
1
               THE COURT:
                           All right.
 2
               And I think I have heard some chimes, so I'm wondering if
 3
     anybody else has called in.
               MR. DiNUCCI: Yes, Your Honor. This is John DiNucci.
 4
 5
     got tied up with something else. My apologies. I just called in
 6
     at the tail end of Mr. Levine's comments.
 7
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
                          And this is David Mills and Jessica Phillips
               MR. MILLS:
 8
 9
    have also joined in. We're just going to put it on mute.
10
               THE COURT:
                          All right.
11
               All right. Well, let me -- what we were talking about
12
     was the extension of fact discovery to April 24th, which is 75 days
13
    before trial.
14
               Do any of the defendants think that you may be filing for
15
     summary judgment?
16
               Mr. Kolenich?
17
               Mr. Kolenich, are you still there?
               MR. KOLENICH: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I had it muted.
18
               Yes, we do anticipate filing for summary judgment,
19
     although, admittedly, that's heavily dependent on the remaining
20
     fact discovery.
21
22
               THE COURT: Okay. Do you -- do you think that -- pushing
23
     the discovery -- the fact discovery deadline back to the same
24
     deadline as that for filing dispositive motions, is that going to
25
     cause any problems for you in filing those motions?
```

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KOLENICH: We don't have any objection to pushing the fact discovery deadline back. As far as it being on the very day it is due, I don't think it will. I think that they have proffered We should be able to work with them. This whole expert thing, you know, they haven't identified experts yet. So, I don't know, as long as the Court is aware that there may be issues that come up with that date. But I think I'm satisfied for now. should be able to work with that date as things stand right now. THE COURT: All right.

Mr. DiNucci, how about from your perspective?

MR. DiNUCCI: I do anticipate, Your Honor, preparing and filing a motion for summary judgment. As far as the impact on such a motion from any extension of the fact discovery cutoff, I quess that's something I'm just going to have to live with, although I suppose I could be filing a motion only to find out that at the last minute I get hit with a slew of information that says it was a waste of time filing the summary judgment motion. But I have to live with it. But I do not oppose an extension of the discovery cutoff. Given certain circumstances, I think I need it myself.

The one comment I would like to make in that vein -- and it ties into the colloquy about experts -- unless I have missed something, I haven't seen any material medical records from any of the plaintiffs, most particularly mister -- I think his name is Magill, who indicates he had a stroke because of certain alleged events. I mean, I'm sort of handicapped right now, without that

```
information, because I have nothing to give to an expert on my side
 1
 2
     to start to analyze and see if we're going to designate -- defense
 3
     collectively designate somebody on certain critical issues.
 4
               THE COURT: All right.
 5
               Mr. Levine, on -- do you -- is there some medical
 6
     discovery that you anticipate providing for that plaintiff? Or do
 7
     you think --
               MS. TENZER: Your Honor, this is Gabrielle Tenzer.
 8
               We have -- as we discussed with Mr. DiNucci, we have
 9
10
     gotten HIPPA releases and received medical records for the
11
     plaintiffs, which are -- or have for the large part been produced
12
     to Mr. DiNucci. We also have recently requested updated medical
13
     records and records that go back farther in time, partially in
14
     response to requests from Mr. DiNucci. So those we have been
15
     producing and will continue to produce as -- you know, consistent
16
     with our obligations under the rules.
17
               THE COURT: All right. You think that you already have
    provided the medical records for that plaintiff?
18
               MS. TENZER: It is my understanding that we have produced
19
     medical records for all of the plaintiffs except for Plaintiffs Doe
20
     and Magill, as Mr. DiNucci pointed out. And that's the current
21
     status.
22
23
               MR. DiNUCCI: Could I interject a comment, Your Honor?
24
               THE COURT: Sure.
25
               MR. DiNUCCI: Mr. DiNucci here.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judge, I personally have not seen the medical records being alluded to, with respect to very limited exceptions. And one of the issues -- and it is not -- I quess technically it is certainly not before the Court, but what is making review of records difficult here is the documents are being produced not -- they are not labeled to correspond with the document requests. And so we're having to pour through thousands and thousands of pages to try to isolate documents that are most important at this point. I'm looking at -- you know, I'm focused on damages and medical records. And I just haven't seen something. But there's some buried in there. But, by and large, I haven't seen medical bills, for example. I haven't seen psychological evaluations or psychiatric evaluations of any of the plaintiffs. And I think for most -- some are complaining of hard tissue injuries and we have Mr. Magill and the alleged stroke, but for the rest it seems that the damage claim is primarily psychological. I don't have any evaluations. THE COURT: All right. MR. DiNUCCI: And if I've missed something, I'll eat crow big time. But perhaps plaintiffs' counsel could help me out and give me some Bates numbers, because otherwise I have got to go back through about 10,000 pages of paper. I haven't just seen them. MS. TENZER: Your Honor, it is Gabrielle Tenzer again. We have produced the records. And as we've discussed

with Mr. DiNucci, we believe that we have complied with the federal

rules for production of ESI. And there's no requirement that we 1 2 tie them specifically to specific requests. But in response, one, 3 I think we have identified medical records to the extent that they are responsive to specific interrogatories that have been posed. 5 We've served with our discovery a cover letter each time that 6 provides the Bates ranges that are being produced and then specifically identify particular Bates ranges that are responsive 7 8 to particular interrogatories. I would also suggest, having seen some of them, the 9 10 medical records are pretty obvious in certain respects. They are large sort of chunks of documents. A lot of them are from UVA. 11 12 And that's all I can say at this point. 13 THE COURT: Are you making that same identification, particular Bates numbers, for the request for productions of 14 15 documents as well, with the exception of any ESI --16 MS. TENZER: No. Because of the way that we have 17 answered the interrogatories, where we say that we will, under Rule I think it is 33(d), you know, produce documents -- business 18 records type documents that are -- that provide the information in 19 response to the interrogatory, we have been providing those 20 specifically because that's sort of part of the .rog response. 21 22 But it is our -- it is our interpretation of the rules 23 that there's no requirement under the federal rules to specifically 24 identify Bates ranges as being responsive to particular document 25 requests.

1 THE COURT: All right. 2 Mr. DiNucci --3 MS. TENZER: Yeah, I mean, I -- I -- we have met and 4 conferred with Mr. DiNucci about this. You know, I can confer with 5 my team and we can see if we can provide him the specific Bates 6 ranges for the medical records, if that will move the process 7 along. I think that would be helpful. THE COURT: Yeah. there are 20 requests for production of documents, I hope that you 9 10 are not just delivering, you know, written responses to those without identifying any documents and then a bankers box or a CD 11 12 with a couple thousand documents that don't have any -- you know, 13 that aren't associated with any particular request. 14 MS. TENZER: We would be happy to go back and identify 15 the medical records by Bates ranges for Mr. DiNucci and the other defendants. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. MS. TENZER: Mr. DiNucci did serve over a hundred 18 document requests, so --19 20 MR. DiNUCCI: That's correct. I have a very voluminous 21 document request. But those requests for medical records are 2.2 limited in number. And as I recall, nothing that has been produced 23 has been labeled to correspond to the document request. And with 24 respect to interrogatory answers and allusion to a reference to 25 documents and Bates numbers in response to interrogatories, I have

```
got -- we have issues that I don't want to bore the Court with now
 1
 2
     about the sufficiency of the interrogatory answers. So I'll be
 3
     taking that up with Ms. Tenzer again.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
               MR. DiNUCCI: But I'll leave it at that.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. All right.
               Well -- all right. So, from the defendants' perspective,
 7
     it sounds like it may be -- it may be necessary to back up the fact
 8
     discovery deadline just a bit, you know, maybe -- maybe a week or
 9
10
     so, to allow for fact discovery to close a bit in advance of
     dispositive motions deadline. I'm fine with extending fact
11
12
     discovery. I think that is necessary given how the discovery has
13
     gone. But I think it probably makes sense to set the close of fact
14
     discovery at, let's say, April 17th, to allow a little bit of time
15
     in between the two deadlines.
16
               MR. LEVINE: That works. Thank you, Your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Levine, are there also some
     outstanding issues about the defendants' compliance with the ESI
18
    protocol?
19
               MR. LEVINE: I think Ms. Tenzer will tackle that issue.
20
     That's her baby.
21
22
               THE COURT:
                           Sorry. Ms. Tenzer.
23
               MS. TENZER:
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
24
               I think you are talking about what we refer to as now the
25
     imaging stip, the issues that we had discussed previously and the
```

order that Your Honor entered. And we have made some progress on that.

The one thing I would like to note, we -- under the order there is supposed to be a third-party discovery vendor that is going to be doing the imaging and hosting the documents for the defendants' counsel to review. The next step in the process is to have the contract entered into by all of the parties. We have sent the contract to the other side. And we are waiting to hear back from them on that.

So we're -- I would say we're behind schedule under the order. As you may recall, some of the certs came in late. And, therefore, everything sort of -- it was a waterfall. And we're falling behind.

But where we are now is we have received the certs, other than from the three defendants that we have discussed previously: Ray, Heimbach, and Mosely. We have raised some issues with those certs that have yet to be addressed. But putting that aside, I think the next big ticket item is to get the vendor contract in place. And we are open to having, you know, meet and confer discussions with defense counsel if they want to discuss the contract. But we would like to get that in place as quickly as possible, so we can start the imaging process.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything that we need to -- you know, the contracts are an issue that you-all are working on. Is there anything that we need to address about compliance

```
with the order, I guess in particular for Mr. Ray and Mr. Heimbach
 1
 2
     on the certifications?
 3
               MS. TENZER: I don't know if we're going to address that
 4
     in the motion to withdraw.
                                 Those are still outstanding --
 5
               THE COURT: All right.
 6
               MS. TENZER: -- those certifications.
 7
               THE COURT: Mr. Kolenich, what is the status of those?
               MR. KOLENICH: Judge, as I think I emailed to chambers,
 8
 9
    Mr. Heimbach's response to the last court order was to terminate my
10
     representation. So he has fired myself and Mr. Woodard and forbid
     us to take any actions on his behalf. The Court will also note
11
12
     that he hasn't called in today, even though I did transmit the time
13
     and call-in information. So that's the status on Heimbach.
14
               Mosely's status is well-known to the Court.
15
               Mr. Ray's information, that is on me. I haven't
16
     completed getting that information from Mr. Ray yet. He is fully
17
     cooperating with the process. And we'll get that just as soon as
     possible. And, of course, the contract issue, the statement of
18
     work, is a separate issue. And we'll take that up with Ms. Tenzer.
19
                           Where -- Mr. Kolenich, it is news to me that
20
               THE COURT:
    Heimbach has terminated your representation. I don't recall seeing
21
2.2
     that.
23
               MR. KOLENICH: Sorry, Your Honor. I emailed it -- I
24
     thought I did -- to chambers. Maybe I sent it to the wrong one; I
25
     don't know. I deal with many federal courts. But Mr. Heimbach did
```

```
fire me more than a week ago, myself and Mr. Woodard.
 1
 2
     explicitly forbidden from taking any further action on his behalf.
 3
               THE COURT:
                           Okav.
               All right. Did -- has anyone else seen that, that email?
 5
               MR. KOLENICH: I'll see who I sent it to, Judge.
 6
    believe I copied plaintiffs on it. But if I didn't, then, again,
     that's my fault.
 7
               THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              All right.
 9
10
              MR. LEVINE: We received it, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: You did? Okay.
                                            I don't know if it --
11
12
     somehow maybe a gremlin in my computer erased it before I saw it.
13
     That certainly can happen as part of the shutdown.
14
               Well, Mr. Kolenich, I mean, that's certainly --
15
     obviously, if he has fired you, that changes things considerably
16
     for the motion to withdraw.
17
               You know, given that, Mr. Levine or Ms. Tenzer, do
     you-all have a different position on the motion to withdraw as far
18
     as Mr. Heimbach at this point? Are you still opposing it?
19
     seems like it would be proper.
20
               MR. LEVINE: I don't think we'd take a position now, Your
21
22
    Honor. I -- if he has been fired, he has been fired.
23
               THE COURT: Yeah. You know, it is -- and that was
24
     -- with -- Mr. Kolenich, with your previous motion to withdraw for
25
     Elliot Kline, you know, I granted that because there had really
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

```
been a complete breakdown in communications. Here it sounds like
     there has been a breakdown and also you have been terminated. So,
     you know, I will certainly grant your motion to withdraw
     Mr. Heimbach.
               MR. KOLENICH: Thank you, Your Honor.
              Now, Mr. Ray, of course, is on the phone.
               THE COURT: Right.
              MR. KOLENICH: And he -- I think he -- I'm sorry.
     ahead, Judge.
               THE COURT: Mr. Kolenich, can you -- I do want you to
     send -- because now Mr. Heimbach is going to be pro se, and I don't
     remember whether we -- the Court already has his contact
     information or not, but we're going to need that so that we can
     contact him. And plaintiffs' counsel will need that as well so
     they can contact him directly.
              MR. KOLENICH: I will send that, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay.
              All right. Then, moving on to the motion to withdraw as
     to Mr. Ray. Any change in circumstances?
19
              MR. KOLENICH: He has still got the criminal motion
              I think we already acknowledged that we're going to hang
     in through the discovery process at least. In the meantime, if
    he's able to rectify the fee situation, then maybe we'll hang in
     longer than that. So I think that the motion to withdraw as to
25
    Mr. Ray is still in a taken-under-advisement status pending
```

1 discovery. 2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 3 Well, I tell you, I will -- I'm fine doing that, 4 continuing to take it under advisement. 5 Mr. Kolenich, the more I think about it, I would -- you 6 know, Mr. Ray certainly has his obligations to you. And I would in no way -- you know, if I were to deny your motion to withdraw, I 7 would in no way be endorsing his failure to pay you for your 8 services, but -- and also, in particular, to pay the costs 9 associated with, you know, depositions and things like that. 10 Mr. Ray, you know, Mr. Kolenich is -- he's staying in the 11 case and continuing to work on your behalf and incur fees, whether 12 13 -- whether or not you are paying those, you are still -- you are 14 still obligated to pay them. And, in particular, you know, when it is time for your deposition, if there are costs associated with 15 16 getting transcripts and things like that, if you-all want them, I 17 think it is very important for you to -- you know, for you to pay for any deposition transcripts, any hard costs that Mr. Kolenich 18 would have in representing you. 19 MR. RAY: Yes, sir. I understand that. And I do agree. 20 I am certainly not falling behind on my payments because I don't 21 22 want to pay Mr. Kolenich. Thus far this process has basically just 23 completely broken me financially. And I am trying to -- I'm at my 24 wit's end to do it, but I'm trying to come up with some way to 25 raise some money to pay Mr. Kolenich. I have been very happy with

his representation. And I would like, if at all possible, to be 1 2 able to keep him on through this whole process. 3 THE COURT: All right. And he has certainly -- in past 4 calls he has said that, you know, he doesn't think that you are 5 unwilling to pay. He thinks that it is difficult for you. And, 6 you know, I understand that. But I do think it is important that you are paying -- certainly paying the costs associated with the 7 8 representation. And, you know, Mr. Kolenich, when it -- when it gets to 9 -- gets to time for trial in this matter, you know, whether or not 10 I were to grant the motion to withdraw, I think that -- or not, you 11 12 know, the cost of coming to trial and the time for being there at 13 trial, you know, it is ultimately going to be the same. And I 14 don't know -- I imagine there would be some additional time that 15 you would have to devote to preparing Mr. Ray for trial, some new conferences and meetings with him. But, you know, I don't think 16 17 that it is going to be so great, at least at this -- at least as far as I can see things at this point, that it would -- that it 18 would warrant withdrawing from the matter. 19 I'm going to take the motion under advisement. I'll be 20 happy to hear you otherwise, if you think differently, at a later 21 22 time. But that's how I'm viewing the motion at this point. 23 MR. KOLENICH: Yes, sir. We'll get through discovery and

then see where we're at.

THE COURT:

Okay.

24

25

1 All right. Well, then, is there anything else that we 2 need to take up today? Ms. Tenzer? Mr. Levine? 3 MR. LEVINE: Just in spite of defendants' counsel's best 4 efforts, you know, we -- this -- the discovery -- the electronic 5 discovery, these consents, all of this, Your Honor, is just moving 6 very slowly. I mean, we still don't have -- we still don't have 7 consents from Mosely, from Ray. We don't -- the -- I'll just alert Your Honor we are very 8 likely to make a motion to compel Discord to produce. 9 10 found the production, after all of this, very wanting, incomplete. We have tried to get a response of where we are before today to 11 12 report to the Court, but that isn't even complete. So we're not 13 even in a position to definitively tell you where we are with 14 Discord. 15 But it is -- all of this has taken just a lot of time. And, of course, I'm sure the Court can appreciate our reluctance to 16 17 take -- take the defendants' depositions without basic documents which constitute their writings and contemporaneous comments about 18 the events in question. So that's why we have been holding off. 19 20 And that's why, at least for the next several weeks, we're pretty much going to continue to be delayed in scheduling the defendants. 21 22 But we -- we're trying to move as quickly as possible. 23 We would like to keep the trial date in July. And we certainly are 24 going to do our level best to complete the fact discovery in the 25 time that the Court has now allotted to us.

```
1
               THE COURT: All right.
 2
               Mr. Kolenich, when will you have a certification from
 3
    Mr. Ray to provide to the plaintiffs' counsel?
               MR. KOLENICH: Judge, I'll get that as soon next week as
 5
            But what I hear Mr. Levine saying is that Discord is not
 6
     cooperating with them, the releases that we have provided
 7
     notwithstanding.
                      And I --
               THE COURT: Mr. Levine, has Discord -- you have gotten
 8
     some information from Discord, but not everything that you had been
 9
10
     expecting; is that right?
               MR. LEVINE: Exactly, Your Honor.
11
12
               THE COURT: And it --
               MR. KOLENICH: I don't know then, Judge, if it makes
13
     sense -- does it make more sense for the defendants themselves, the
14
15
     actual Discord account holders, to try to get this stuff from
16
               If plaintiffs would tell us who they are talking to over
     Discord?
17
     there, which I asked them through Mr. Greene and I didn't get any
     response, maybe we can get Discord more motivated. I don't know.
18
               MR. LEVINE: I -- I don't -- I have no problem telling
19
     defendants' lawyers who represents Discord. It is the law firm of
20
     Perkins & Coie. But it is not so much that they don't like talking
21
22
     to us. It is the electronic data doesn't seem to be talking to us,
23
     Your Honor.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, that's probably --
25
               MR. LEVINE:
                            I mean, if the defendants' lawyers think
```

```
they can help us in this process, I would love their assistance.
 1
 2
     just -- we haven't reached out to them for that purpose because I
 3
     don't really think that's where the problem is here, Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
               MR. KOLENICH: With Mr. Ray in particular, like we
 6
     discussed last time, that information we don't want it going to the
     plaintiffs and then to us. It needs to come directly to me.
 7
                                                                   So I
     really need to -- you know, I need to send Mr. Ray's Discord
 8
     release directly to these people, not through Boies Schiller.
 9
10
               MR. LEVINE: Jim, I'm happy to give that to you.
     won't be a problem.
11
12
               MR. KOLENICH: Okay. Yeah. Just email that to me, and
13
     I'll send it in.
14
               I have Mr. Ray's signature on the Discord release now.
15
    have it in my possession. I understand he hasn't sent you the ESI
16
     stip either. So we'll get that very quickly next week.
17
               THE COURT: All right.
               All right. And I think -- Mr. Ray, I think that is
18
     important to get that done quickly and have that done next week.
19
               MR. RAY: Okay. I'm not -- I'm not sure I know what
20
     -- I'm not sure I know what that is, but I'm willing to comply with
21
22
     whatever the Court wants.
23
               THE COURT: All right. Well, that's something you can
24
     talk to Mr. Kolenich more about -- about that.
25
               MR. RAY: Yes, sir.
```

And then, Mr. Levine, as to Mosely, you know, 1 2 he's representing himself. And so that's, you know, something that 3 if we need to get him on the phone with -- you know, with you, we can set that -- we can set that up. 4 5 MR. LEVINE: Your Honor, perhaps we'll talk to Ms. Dotson 6 off-line and maybe get -- and request a date. That would be -- that would be appreciated -- if the Court were to issue a 7 conference date for both sides and that generated from chambers, 8 9 that would be good. 10 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm happy to do that. And I'll mention that to Ms. Dotson this afternoon. 11 12 MR. LEVINE: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: You know, counsel, if we need to put in some 14 hard deadlines for certain things to happen in discovery, you know, 15 then we can do that and we can set some regular compliance. 16 There's still time to have -- you know, we have got a number of 17 months left in discovery. So there's time to get this done. But I understand there's a lot to do. So, you know, please get me 18 involved earlier rather than later. 19 MS. KAPLAN: Your Honor, this is Ms. Kaplan. 20 Discord -- we asked Discord -- following up on the 21 22 conversation we had on our last call, we asked Discord if they 23 would consent to any motion practice being before Your Honor. And 24 unfortunately they are refusing to consent to that. So any motion 25 practice, unfortunately, that we file against Discord will have to

```
be in the Northern District of California, which, obviously, is not
 1
 2
     ideal. But they refuse to give that consent.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, thanks for letting
 4
     me know that.
 5
               All right. And then, you know, I'm sure that -- I'm sure
 6
     that you-all can -- I know you don't want to take any of the
     defendants' depositions at this point, but I imagine that you can
 7
 8
     set them, just so that they are on the schedule, in the
     anticipation that you are going to have discovery before those
 9
10
     depositions, just to get them on everybody's schedules, which I'm
11
     sure are getting busier by the day.
12
              MR. LEVINE: Okay.
13
               THE COURT: All right.
              Anything else?
14
              Mr. Kolenich, anything?
15
              MR. KOLENICH: No, sir.
16
17
               THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. DiNucci, anything else?
              MR. DiNUCCI: Nothing, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: All right. And then as to those on mute,
19
    Mr. Mills? Ms. Phillips?
20
               MR. MILLS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
21
22
               THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you.
23
               Well, counsel, Mr. Ray, thank you for calling in.
                                                                  And
24
    have a good weekend.
25
               UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: You too, Your Honor.
```

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Your Honor, are you going to issue an order in respect of the April 17 date? THE COURT: I will. I'll do a short order on the things that we have discussed today. UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Take care. Bye. (Thereupon, at 2:42 p.m. these proceedings were adjourned.) I, court-approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. <u>/s/ Carol Jacobs White</u> _____January 11, 2019 Signature of Approved Transcriber Date